



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/391,966	09/08/99	DITZIK	R

027058
RICHARD J. DITZIK
307 SURREY DRIVE
SAN DIEGO CA 91902

TM02/1105

EXAMINER

URBAN, E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2683

DATE MAILED: 11/05/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/391,966

Applicant(s)
Ditzik

Examiner
Edward F. Urban

Group Art Unit
2683



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Edward F. Urban

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Richard J. Ditzik

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 9, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 14 and 44

Identification of prior art discussed:
Stein, Siitonen

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☒ No.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The restriction with respect to claims 14 and 44 was discussed. Applicant proposed to add a new claim containing the limitations of both claim 14 and 44. Also, the 103 rejection with respect to claims 14 and 44 was discussed. It was proposed to further describe the "local communications base unit" that communicates with the handset unit.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

EDWARD F. URBAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2683